

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/028,288	12/28/2001	Jimmy A. Tatum	V637-02312 US	2960	
7590 11/24/2003		EXAMINER			
Andrew A. Abeyta			LOUIE, WAI SING		
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. 101 Columbia Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
POB 2245			2814		
Morristown, NJ 07962			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				at Z			
	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	Two-			
Office Action Summary		88	TATUM ET AL.				
			Art Unit				
	Wai-Sing		2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR efter SIX (6) MONTHS from the meiling date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply vill. yes Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mi earned patent term edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. k 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statutiod will epply and will atute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be tim  utory minimum of thirty (30) days  li expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	ely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing dete of this colo 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunicetion.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1:	1 August 2003						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ TI	his action is no	on-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	accepted or b) he drawing(s) b rection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12)							
Attachment(s)		л <b></b> -					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		4) Interview Summary ( 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/028,288

Art Unit: 2814

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chun et al. (US 5,625,732) in view of Okazaki et al. (US 5,298,768).

With regard to claim 1, Chun et al. disclose an optical interface unit (col. 2, line 18 to col. 9, line 50 and fig. 3) comprising:

- A submount 201 having a plurality of conductive traces 213 on the surface and a
  plurality of conductive vias 221 and 226 that pass through a body of the submount
  201 (fig. 2);
- A plurality of bonding wires 144 electrically connecting the first electrical contacts 131 and 134 of the semiconductor elements 107 and 116 (fig. 1);
- A semiconductor array 121 having a top portion and a bottom portion (fig. 1), the
  semiconductor array 121 being comprised of semiconductor elements 107, 116,
  and 124 having first electrical contacts 113 on the top portion (fig. 1), but do not
  disclose the second electrical contacts on the bottom portion. However, Okazaki
  et al. disclose a bottom contact in the device (Okazaki col. 4, lines 31-32 and fig.

6). Okazaki et al. teach that this arrangement would reduce manufacturing costs (col. 2, lines 1-2). Therefore, it would have been obvious for the one with ordinary skill in the art to modify Chun's device with the teaching of Okazaki et al. to provide a bottom electrode for connecting the LED in order to reduce manufacturing costs. The semiconductor array 121 is attached to the submount 102 such that the second electrical contacts of the semiconductor elements 107, 116, and 124 are electrically connected to the submount 102 via conductive contact 104 (fig. 1 and 2).

With regard to claims 2 and 14, Chun et al. disclose the semiconductor array 121 includes a plurality of vertical cavity surface emitting laser, VCSEL (col. 3, lines 1-10).

With regard to claims 3 and 15, Chun et al. disclose the semiconductor array 121 includes a plurality of detector (col. 2, lines 57-67).

With regard to claims 4 and 22, Chun et al. disclose the submount 102 includes a mounting well 139, where the semiconductor array 121 is in the mounting well 139, and where the semiconductor array 121 includes a top surface 138 that does not protrude from the mounting well 139 (fig. 1).

With regard to claims 5-6, 11, and 16, Chun et al. disclose each of the conductive traces and connectors 141, 142, and 144 includes a plurality of contact pads 104 and 106 (fig. 1).

With regard to claims 7-8 and 18-19, Chun et al. disclose an optical coupler 302 on the locating spacer 313 that extends from the submount 301, where the optical coupler 302 is aligned with the semiconductor array 121 (fig. 1 and 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/028,288 Page 4

Art Unit: 2814

With regard to claims 9 and 20, Chun et al. disclose the submount 102 and 202 includes ceramic (col. 2, lines 40-41 and col. 5, line 49).

With regard to claim 10, Chun et al. disclose at least one of the plurality of conductive vias 211 electrically connects to one of the conductive traces 203 (fig. 2).

With regard to claim 12, Chun et al. disclose a printed circuit board, PCB, 102 electrically connected to the conductive pad 104 (col. 2, lines 39-45 and fig. 1).

With regard to claim 13, in addition to the limitations disclosed in claim 1 above, Chun et al. also disclose:

A submount 202 comprised a lower portion (fig. 2), a conductive trace 213 on the
lower portion, an upper portion over the lower portion, and a plurality of
conductive traces 223 on the upper portion, where the lower portion and the
conductive trace on the lower portion extend beyond the upper portion (to reach
the IC chip 207 and 208) to define a mounting surface of submount 202;

With regard to claims 17 and 21, Chun et al. disclose a bonding connector 134 electrically connected to the contact pad 104 (fig. 1) and a PCB 102 electrically connected to the conductive pad 104 (col. 2, lines 39-45 and fig. 1).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/11/03 have been fully considered:

Applicant has amended the claims to have top and bottom electrical connections,
 which does not teach in reference Chun et al. However, Okazaki et al. teach a

Art Unit: 2814

LED array having top and bottom connections. Therefore, the combination of Chun and Okazaki would meet the amended limitation. Please see the above office action.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2814

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wai-Sing Louie whose telephone number is (703) 305-0474. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

wsl <sup><</sup>

November 7, 2003